

# Revision Applications

---

## Introduction

Grantees requesting additional funds to expand the scope of an existing grant or to meet the needs of a research protocol do so by submitting revision applications. These applications, formerly called competing supplements, must be peer reviewed.

- Funds that were part of standard grant reductions made by the funding NIH Institute or Center will not be restored.
- Investigators cannot apply for a revision application until the parent application has been funded.
- The project period for a revision application may not extend beyond the project period of the parent grant.

## Reviewer Information

- When reviewing a Revision application, reviewers will consider:
  - the scientific merit of the new work proposed, and
  - whether the proposed expansion of the project scope is appropriate
- If the Revision application relates to a specific line of investigation presented in the original application that was not recommended for approval by the committee, then the committee will consider whether the responses to comments from the previous review are adequate and whether substantial changes are clearly evident.
- Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the standard review criteria, and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

## Resources

- [Scoring System and Procedure](#)
- [Critique Template Instructions](#).
- A comprehensive list of Guidelines for Reviewers is available at [http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/reviewer\\_guidelines.htm](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/reviewer_guidelines.htm)